| 1        | DOYLE LOWTHER LLP                                     |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|
| _        | William J. Doyle II (188069)                          |  |  |
| 2        | John A. Lowther (207000)                              |  |  |
| 3        | James R. Hail (202439)                                |  |  |
|          | 9466 Black Mountain Road, Suite 210                   |  |  |
| 4        | San Diego, California 92126                           |  |  |
|          | Phone: (619) 573-1700                                 |  |  |
| 5        | Fax: (619) 573-1701                                   |  |  |
| 6        | www.doylelowther.com                                  |  |  |
| 7        | ZELDES & HAEGGQUIST, LLP<br>Amber L. Eck (177882)     |  |  |
| 8        | Helen I. Zeldes (220051)                              |  |  |
| 9        | Alreen Haeggquist (221858)<br>625 Broadway, Suite 906 |  |  |
| 10       | San Diego, California 92101<br>Phone: (619) 342-8000  |  |  |
| 11       | Fax: (619) 342-7878<br>www.zhlaw.com                  |  |  |
| 12       |   |  |  |
| 13       | Interim co-lead counsel for plaintiffs and the        | e proposed class                                     |  |
| 14       | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT                          |  |  |
| 15       | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA                       |  |  |
| 16       |   |  |  |
| 17<br>18 | In re SONY VAIO COMPUTER NOTEBOOK TRACKPAD LITIGATION | Civil No. 09-CV-2109-BEN-RBB                         |  |
| 10       | LITIOATION  | REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE                          |  |
| 19       |   | IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED OPPOSITION TO |  |
| 20       |   | DEFENDANTS SONY'S AND BEST                           |  |
| 21       |   | BUY'S MOTIONS TO DISMISS                             |  |
| 22       |   | [ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED]                            |  |
| 23       |   | Date: June 21, 2010<br>Time: 10:30 a.m.              |  |
| 24       |   | Judge: Hon. Roger T. Benitez                         |  |
| 25       |   | Courtroom: 3   |  |
| 26       |   |  |  |
| 27       |   | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL                                |  |
| 28       |   |  |  |

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence and related authority Plaintiffs Ronald Flynn and Christina Egner ("Plaintiffs") request the Court take judicial notice of the following documents attached hereto as Exhibits A and B:

Exhibit A: Best Buy Forum posting dated December 11, 2008 by Best Buy Agent Aaron, Geek Squad Community Connector, on http://forums.bestbuy.com.

Exhibit B: Official Judicial Council of California Form PLD-C-001(2), CAUSE OF ACTION - Common Counts.

Under the Federal Rules of Evidence, a judicially noticed fact is an adjudicative fact "not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." Federal Rule of Evidence 201(a)-(b). It is proper for courts to review judicially noticed facts in considering motions to dismiss. *See Knievel v. ESPN*, 393 F.3d 1068, 1076 (9th Cir. 2005) (judicially noticing webpages attached to motion to dismiss under the incorporation by reference doctrine). A court should take judicial notice "if requested by a party and supplied with the necessary information." Federal Rule of Evidence 201(d).

The court can take judicial notice of Exhibit A, which is a webpage posting by an employee of defendant Best Buy on Best Buy's own website message forum. Judicial notice is proper because its accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned because the representation is from a Best Buy employee on Best Buy's own website. Judicial notice of Exhibit B is proper because the Judicial Council of California Form, PLD-C-001(2), CAUSE OF ACTION - Common Counts is an official document not subject to reasonable dispute and its accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.

For the above reasons, plaintiffs respectfully request the Court take judicial notice of

| 1        | Exhibits A and B.   |  |
|----------|---------------------|--|
| 2        | DATED: June 7, 2010 | DOYLE LOWTHER LLP  |
| 3        |                     | s/ John Lowther, Esq.  |
| 4        |                     | Attorney for plaintiffs  |
| 5        |                     | e-mail: john@doylelowther.com                                      |
| 6<br>7   |                     | William J. Doyle II (188069) bill@doylelowther.com                 |
| 8        |                     | John A. Lowther (207000)<br>john@doylelowther.com                  |
| 9        |                     | James R. Hail (202439)<br>jim@doylelowther.com                     |
| 10       |                     | 9466 Black Mountain Road, Suite 210<br>San Diego, California 92126 |
| 11       |                     | Tel: (619) 573-1700<br>Fax: (619) 573-1701                         |
| 12       |                     | Tux. (017) 373 1701  |
| 13       |                     | ZELDES & HAEGGQUIST, LLP   |
| 14       |                     | Amber L. Eck (177882)<br>ambere@zhlaw.com                          |
| 15       |                     | Helen I. Zeldes (220051)<br>helenz@zhlaw.com                       |
| 16<br>17 |                     | Alreen Haeggquist (221858) alreenh@zhlaw.com                       |
| 18       |                     | 625 Broadway, Suite 906<br>San Diego, California 92101             |
| 19       |                     | Tel: (619) 342-8000<br>Fax: (619) 342-7878                         |
| 20       |                     | Interim co-lead counsel for plaintiffs and the                     |
| 21       |                     | proposed class   |
| 22       |                     |  |
| 23       |                     |  |
| 24       |                     |  |
| 25       |                     |  |
| 26       |                     |  |
| 27<br>28 |                     |  |
| 20       |                     |  |

## **EXHIBIT A**

hockeycanuckjc

Valued Contributor 12-08-2008 04:14 PM

Re: Can Sony require Best Buy to return a laptop to them for repairs?

Options

Posts: 2,194 Registered: 11-10-2008

0

subscription to use them.

Some programs I like that are FREEWARE antivirus programs:

AVG Antivirus 8.0 Avast Antivirus Avira Anti-Vir personal edition

Freeware Spyware / Adware removers:

Ad Aware Spybot Search and Destroy Spyware Blaster

These are some of CNET Download.com's highest rated programs and they are all free for personal use with no payment neccesary.

There are lots of great FREE alternatives to the big stuff out there that work 100% with Windows Vista and does not require a paid

For Microsoft Office, there is an excellent alternative to Microsoft Office called OpenOffice.org, Its 100% compatible with all your word, excel and powerpoint files and it does all the same things Microsoft Office 07 does except its free

Finally on the Photoshop side, I use an excellent freeware alternative to Adobe Photoshop called "The GIMP" it supports plugin like effects similar to Photoshop and Paint Shop Pro and its interface is user friendly.

Hopefully this helps.

All these programs can be obtained on http://www.download.com

CNET also has excellent tutorials on their website on how to use these programs.



Message 4 of 11 (3,566 Views)

Reply

Options

tedIn New Member

9 ----



0

Message 5 of 11 (3,547

Re: Can Sony require Best Buy to return a laptop to them for repairs?

12-08-2008 10:39 PM

Yes, I have and use most of the freeware you mentioned. I do prefer trend micro antivirus on my vista system, but use AVG on my xp laptop. I tried openoffice, and while it is a good program, I still prefer ms office. I use GIMP for some of the filters which I prefer over the photoshop filters, but I use photoshop for some of it's features which seem better than GIMP.

Do you have an opinion concerning my question about "Sony requiring Best Buy to return my laptop to them for repairs"?

Reply

Aaron-GS ADMIN
Community Connector

Re: Can Sony require Best Buy to return a laptop to them for repairs?

Options

12-11-2008 04:34 PM

Hey tedIn,

Posts: 1,058 Registered: 09-29-2008

Because our service centers are factory authorized repair facilities for most products we sell, Best Buy® does not normally ship products directly to the manufacturer for repairs. There are some notable exceptions, however, and some manufacturers may require that repairs sought on specific models be addressed by one of their own in-house technicians. Simply put: if Sony doesn't want Best Buy® technicians working on a specific computer, we need to ship it to them for repairs.

How we determine where your product needs to be shipped though is a fairly simple process. When you bring a product into your local store, Geek Squad® agents enter basic model and serial number information into a repair tracking program. This program not only tells them where it needs to be shipped, but provides an estimated return date as well.

Hope this helps you out!

Agent Aaron

## **EXHIBIT B**

## Case 3:09-cv-02109-BEN -RBB Document 26 Filed 06/07/10 Page 7 of 7 $$\operatorname{EXHIBIT}$B$

PLD-C-001(2)

| SHORT TITLE:  | CASE NUMBER:                    |
|---|---------------------------------|
| CAUSE OF ACTION—Common Counts   |                                 |
| (number)  |                                 |
| ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint   |                                 |
| (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)   |                                 |
| CC-1. Plaintiff (name):   |                                 |
| alleges that defendant (name):  |                                 |
| became indebted to plaintiff other (name):  |                                 |
| <ul> <li>a within the last four years</li> <li>(1) on an open book account for money due.</li> <li>(2) because an account was stated in writing by and between plair was agreed that defendant was indebted to plaintiff.</li> </ul>  | ntiff and defendant in which it |
| b. within the last two years four years  (1) for money had and received by defendant for the use and bene  (2) for work, labor, services and materials rendered at the special is and for which defendant promised to pay plaintiff.  the sum of \$   |                                 |
| the reasonable value.  for goods, wares, and merchandise sold and delivered to defer promised to pay plaintiff  the sum of \$  the reasonable value.  for money lent by plaintiff to defendant at defendant's request.  for money paid, laid out, and expended to or for defendant at defendant at defendant at defendant at defendant at defendant at defendant. |                                 |
| request. (6) other (specify):   | ·                               |
| CC-2. \$ , which is the reasonable value, is due and u plus prejudgment interest according to proof at the rate of from (date):  CC-3 Plaintiff is entitled to attorney fees by an agreement or a statute of \$   | •                               |
| according to proof.   |                                 |
| CC-4. Other:  |                                 |
|   |                                 |
|   |                                 |
|   | Page                            |

Form Approved for Optional Use Judicial Council of California PLD-C-001(2) [Rev. January 1, 2009]

**CAUSE OF ACTION—Common Counts** 

Page 1 of 1

Code of Civil Procedure, § 425.12 www.courtinfo.ca.gov